

Work Track 5 meeting



2 May 2018

Agenda

1

Welcome/Agenda
review/SOI updates
(5 mins)

2

WT5 Meeting
Schedule (5 mins)

3

Continue Discussion
on City Names (40
mins)

4

Continue discussion
of non-included
categories (35 mins)

5

AOB (5 mins)

Work Track 5 Meeting Schedule

Agenda Item #2

Work Track 5 meeting schedule

Work Track members were asked whether they would rather meet every week for 60 minutes or every other week for 90 minutes.

The majority of responses favored meeting weekly for 60 minutes.

The Work Track will try using this new schedule and monitor attendance in the coming weeks to see if there is any impact on participation.

Continue Discussion on City Names

Agenda Item #3

2.2.1.4.2 Other Geographic Names

A representation, in any language, of a capital city name of any country or territory listed in ISO 3166-1

Examples: London-Londres-Llundain / Berlin-Berlijn-Berlino

Policy (2007 PDP): Available, but challenge mechanism to governments to initiate an objection. Applicants should be aware of [GAC Principles](#). Applicants must represent that the use of the proposed string is not in violation of the national laws in which the applicant is incorporated.

Implementation (2012 AGB): Requiring support/non-objection from relevant governments or public authorities.

Future Treatment:

2.2.1.4.2 Other Geographic Names

City name, used for purposes associated with the city name

Examples: Bath, Spa

Policy (2007 PDP): Available, but challenge mechanism to governments to initiate an objection. Applicants should be aware of [GAC Principles](#). Applicants must represent that the use of the proposed string is not in violation of the national laws in which the applicant is incorporated.

Implementation (2012 AGB): Requiring support/non-objection from relevant governments or public authorities.

Future Treatment:

2.2.1.4.2 Other Geographic Names

String coinciding with a city name, but used for non-geographic purposes

Policy (2007 PDP): Available, but challenge mechanism to governments to initiate an objection. Applicants should be aware of [GAC Principles](#). Applicants must represent that the use of the proposed string is not in violation of the national laws in which the applicant is incorporated.

Implementation (2012 AGB): No requirements.

Future Treatment:

Continue Discussion of Non-Included Categories

Agenda Item #4

Categories Not Included in the 2012 AGB

1. Why might additional categories of geographic names, beyond those in the AGB, be needed? ***What are the underlying interests*** in adding to the list of geographic names? Why might additional categories of geographic names, beyond those in the AGB, not be needed? ***What are the underlying interests*** in not adding to the list of geographic names?

2. What are the specific additional groupings of geographic terms that should be under consideration for differential treatment, if any?
 - Why do they require protection?
 - What type of protections do you envision?

AOB

Agenda Item #5